



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	MS 2012/AJEA/1380
Title of the Manuscript:	<i>Correlation between Resistance of Eggplant and Microorganisms, Enzyme Activities of Soil</i>
Manuscript received on (Date)	
Review comment submitted (Date)	



SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)</i>
General comment:	Overall the paper was an interesting work with the correlation between the regulatory mechanism of plant to soil microorganisms and enzyme activities, and their relationship with plant resistance. The author investigated the culturable microorganisms and enzyme activities from the rhizosphere soils of eggplant cultivars inoculated with 10 mL of the <i>V. dahliae</i> spores suspension. It is a simple and interesting work. Just some English writing mistakes. Line 18: the ratios of bacterium to fungi should be “bacteria” Line 26: while chemical fungicides is should be “are” Line 27: all these cause the disease maybe delete “all these cause” Line 30: soil condition maybe “soil conditions” Line 31: soil enzyme. Line 33: But the regulatory mechanism maybe But the regulatory mechanisms Line 55: sterilized at 121 lack “°C ” Line 106: were resistant type, maybe “were of the resistant type” Line 53: The eggplants seeds should be “The eggplant seeds” Line 163: the fungi and actinomyces population of resistance cotton cultivars is positively is not correct Line 176: to manage in biological control should be “to manage the biological control”	These mistakes were revised based on this review.



SDI Review Form 1.5

	Another's	
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract		
Introduction		
Review of literature (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Materials & methods (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Results & discussion (Heading may differ in the case of review paper)		
Conclusion		
References		